


## ANNEX 1: CHARTS ON DEVELOPMENTS IN LOAN PORTFOLIOS AND ANSWERS TO THE QUESTIONNAIRE


## LENDING TO HOUSEHOLDS







institutions and branches.













LENDING SURVEY • NOVEMBER 2014










Chart 10 Credit conditions in the consumer loan market -pricing conditions (net percentage balance of respondents tightening/easing credit conditions weighted by market share) per cent per cent per cent 100 100 100 80 80 80 60 60 60 40 40 40 20 20 20 0 0 0 20 -20 -20 -40 -40 -40 -60 -60 -60 -80 -80 -80 -100 -100 -100 2009 Q1 Q3 2010 Q1 Q3 2014 Q1 2009 Q1 Q3 2014 Q1 Q3 2009 Q1 Q3 g 2013 Q1 2010 Q1 8 2012 Q1 2013 Q1 Q1 Q1 g <u>6</u> g g 2 e 5 ö 2 ö g Q1 G3 g g 01 03 2010 2011 2014 ( 2013 2012 2011 2012 2011 Spread on average loans Premium on riskier loans Loan origination fees

Note: The magnitude of tightening/easing is not shown in the chart.

LENDING SURVEY • NOVEMBER 2014





LENDING SURVEY • NOVEMBER 2014 8




Chart 14 Demand for different consumer loan products (net percentage balance of banks reporting increase or decrease weighted by market share)

Other

Anticipated demand

for 2014 Q4 - 2015 Q1

Vehicle-financing loans





Perceived demand

in 2014 Q3


Home equity loans

-60 -80 -100








## LENDING TO THE CORPORATE SECTOR




11 LENDING SURVEY • NOVEMBER 2014














13 LENDING SURVEY • NOVEMBER 2014
























Note: The magnitude of tightening/easing is not shown in the chart.


LENDING SURVEY • NOVEMBER 2014 17





































## Annex 2: Methodological notes

In terms of methodology – starting from January 2010 – the survey consists of the standard questionnaire in each segment, and we might also ask ad hoc questions of current concerns related to the lending segment. The retrospective questions in the questionnaire refer to the previous quarter year (previous quarter in the past), (e.g. to 2014 Q3 in October 2014), whereas forward-looking questions contain projections for the upcoming half year (e.g. for 2014 Q4 and 2015 Q1 in October 2014), relative to the trends of the previous quarter year (previous half year in the past).

To indicate changes, the survey used the so-called net change indicator, expressed as a percentage of respondents. This indicator is calculated as follows: market share-weighted ratio of respondents projecting a change (tightening/increasing/strengthening) minus market share-weighted ratio of respondents projecting a change in the opposite direction (easing/decreasing/weakening).

The standard part of the questionnaire asked respondents for changes in willingness to lend (volume of loans), credit standards and credit/disbursement conditions, as well as changes in demand (observed in the last quarter and, as expected for the next half year, seasonally adjusted changes in new credit applications) and in portfolio quality as perceived by the respondent, and changes in the risk assessment of different sectors in the case of the corporate questionnaire. The survey applied a five-step scaling to assess changes in the willingness to lend, demand, standards/conditions, risk parameters, however on the charts we only show the direction, excluding magnitude:

• A rating of 1 reflects a considerable increase in demand and in willingness to lend, a considerable tightening in credit standards/credit conditions, a considerable increase in housing prices and risk parameters and, in the case of the risk assessment of sectors, a score of 1 indicates a considerable increase in risk perception relative to the half year preceding the survey, or relative to the current half year or for the upcoming half year in the case of a forecast.

• A rating of 3 indicates an unchanged assessment, both for the current half year and for the forecast pertaining to the upcoming half year.

• A rating of 5 reflects a considerable decrease in demand and in willingness to lend, a considerable loosening of credit standards/credit conditions, a considerable decline in housing prices and risk parameters and, in the case of the risk assessment of sectors, a rating of 5 indicates a significantly safer climate relative to the half year preceding the survey, or relative to the current half year or for the upcoming half year in the case of a forecast.

Ratings of 2 and 4 allow for an intermediate assessment between two extremes (e.g. demand increasing to some extent).

Keywords used for the purposes of the questionnaire are defined as follows:

**Credit availability** (willingness to lend) reflects the respondent's intention to expand and increase its portfolio in the specific segment.

In terms of **credit conditions**<sup>1</sup>, there is a distinction between price-related and non-price related factors. Non-price related credit conditions (such as collateralisation requirements, loan covenants, maximum size of loans/credit lines, etc.) represent specific contractual terms; the bank will not disburse the loan unless these conditions are met. Regarding the non-price related factors, the survey queried respondents on items such as the spread between the interest rate level and the cost of funds, and risk premium.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As credit standards and credit conditions are interrelated concepts, we surveyed overall changes in credit conditions and standards, followed by a separate query on individual credit conditions.