
Valid from: November 30, 2026.

ADMI.007.001.01 INVALID INPUT RECEIVED NOTIFICATION (MT EQUIVALENT: MT 298/SMT 900 – INVALID INPUT RECEIVED NOTIFICATION – INVALID INCOMING MESSAGE

- **Scope**

CAS uses an admi.007.001.01 message to notify the direct participant that the message they sent was refused due to invalid format.

KELER and GIRO Zrt. receives an admi.007.001.01 message from CAS when the message sent by KELER and GIRO Zrt. contained invalid formatting.



• Element specification

The below table includes the SWIFT format specification.

M/O	Element	MX options	MT equivalent field	MT equivalent field name
M	**AppHdr**/Fr/FIId/FinInstnId/BICFI	CAS settlement BIC (MANEHU2AXXX)	n/a	
M	**AppHdr**/To/FIId/FinInstnId/BICFI	Receiving BIC	n/a	
M	**AppHdr**/BizMsgIdr	Business Message Identification	n/a	
M	**AppHdr**/MsgDefIdr	admi.007.001.01	n/a	
M	**AppHdr**/BizSvc	swift.iap.02	n/a	
M	**AppHdr**/CreDt	Creation Date and Time	n/a	
M	**Document/RctAck/MsgId**/MsgId	Message Identification	20	Transaction Reference Number
M	**Document/RctAck/Rpt**/RltdRef/Ref	Related Reference	21	Related Reference
M	**Document/RctAck/Rpt**/ReqHdlg/StsCd	Status Code	L10	CAS Message Status without message line third character removed
M	**Document/RctAck/Rpt**/ReqHdlg/StsDtTm	Status Date Time	L12	CAS Action Time
M	**Document/RctAck/Rpt**/ReqHdlg/Desc	Description e.g. camt.060.001.05	12	Message Type

Deleted: 1

Valid from: November 30, 2026.

- **Format specification**

- **Document/RctAck/Rpt/ReqHdlg/Desc element** contains the type of the invalid and refused message e.g. “camt.060.001.05”

- **Document/RctAck/Rpt/ReqHdlg/StsCd element** shows the reason for refusal.

  LN00 – Payment settled

  LT00 – Payment awaiting settlement

  LT01 – Payment held

  LF00 – Invalid message content

  LF01 – Invalid BIC

  LF02 – Invalid code word

  LF03 – Unexpected field

  LA70 – Aborted due to authentication failure

  LA71 – Aborted as submitting or receiving Member is unknown

  LA72 – Aborted on entry or when queued and the settlement status for the payment type has been set to ‘closed’, ‘rejecting’ or ‘finished’ (i.e. CAS has stopped accepting or processing payments of this type)

  LA73 – Aborted due to invalid value date

  LA74 – Aborted as payment entry not permitted for payments of this type

  LA75 – Not used

  LA76 – Aborted due to participant organisation being placed in default

  LA77 – Duplicate payment

  LA78 – Invalid payment

  LA79 – Cancelled

  LA80 – Error in CAS

  LA81 – Invalid Format

  LA82 – Insufficient funds (for submitter types configured not to allow payments to queue where there are insufficient funds)

  LA83 – Amount is less than the minimum allowed amount for the payment message type

  LA84 – Non eligible debit account

  LA85 – Non eligible credit account

  LA86 – Rejected by AML Filter

  LA87 – Rejected by operator

Valid from: November 30, 2026.

- **Examples**

1. OTP Bank sent a camt.060.001.05 message in which element Document/AcctRptgReq/RptgReq/AcctOwnr/Pty/Id/OrgId/AnyBIC contained an invalid BIC code. As a response they receive the following admi.007.001.01 message from CAS, which includes the reasons why their order was refused (Document/RctAck/Rpt/ReqHdlg/StsCd).

   mnb.hu/letoltes/admi-007-001-01-1-viber-20261130.txt

2. KELER sent a pacs.010.001.03 message to CAS, which contained invalid formatting, and CAS responded with the following admi.007.001.01 message.

   mnb.hu/letoltes/admi-007-001-01-2-viber-20261130.txt

3. GIRO Zrt. sent a pacs.010.001.03 message in an erroneous format to CAS, in response to which CAS sent the following admi.007.001.01 message.

   mnb.hu/letoltes/admi-007-001-01-3-viber-20261130.txt

Deleted: mnb.hu/letoltes/admi-007-001-01-1-viber.txt

Deleted: mnb.hu/letoltes/admi-007-001-01-2-viber.txt

Deleted: mnb.hu/letoltes/admi-007-001-01-3-viber.txt