**admi.007.001.01 Invalid input received notification (MT equivalent: MT 298/SMT 900 – Invalid Input Received Notification – Invalid incoming message**

**Scope**

CAS uses an admi.007.001.01 message to notify the direct participant that the message they sent was refused due to invalid format.

KELER and GIRO Zrt. receives an admi.007.001.01 message from CAS when the message sent by KELER and GIRO Zrt. contained invalid formatting.

**A screen shot of a message

Description automatically generated**

* **Element specification**

The below table includes the SWIFT format specification.

| **M/O** | **Element** | **MX options** | **MT equivalent field** | **MT equivalent field name** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| M | **AppHdr/**Fr/FIId/FinInstnId/BICFI | CAS settlement BIC (MANEHU2AXXX) | n/a |  |
| M | **AppHdr/**To/FIId/FinInstnId/BICFI | Receiving BIC | n/a |  |
| M | **AppHdr/**BizMsgIdr | Business Message Identification | n/a |  |
| M | **AppHdr/**MsgDefIdr | admi.007.001.01 | n/a |  |
| M | **AppHdr/**BizSvc | swift.iap.01 | n/a |  |
| M | **AppHdr/**CreDt | Creation Date and Time | n/a |  |
| M | **Document/RctAck/MsgId/**MsgId | Message Identification | 20 | Transaction Reference Number |
| M | **Document/RctAck/Rpt/**RltdRef/Ref | Related Reference | 21 | Related Reference |
| M | **Document/RctAck/Rpt/**ReqHdlg/StsCd | Status Code | L10 | CAS Message Status  without message line  third character removed |
| M | **Document/RctAck/Rpt/**ReqHdlg/StsDtTm | Status Date Time | L12 | CAS Action Time |
| M | **Document/RctAck/Rpt/**ReqHdlg/Desc | Description  e.g. camt.060.001.05 | 12 | Message Type |

* **Format specification**
* **Document/RctAck/Rpt/ReqHdlg/Desc element** contains the type of the invalid and refused message e.g. “camt.060.001.05”
* **Document/RctAck/Rpt/ReqHdlg/StsCd element** shows the reason for refusal.

LN00 – Payment settled

LT00 – Payment awaiting settlement

LT01 – Payment held

LF00 – Invalid message content

LF01 – Invalid BIC

LF02 – Invalid code word

LF03 – Unexpected field

LA70 – Aborted due to authentication failure

LA71 – Aborted as submitting or receiving Member is unknown

LA72 – Aborted on entry or when queued and the settlement status for the payment type has been set to ‘closed’, ‘rejecting’ or ‘finished’ (i.e. CAS has stopped accepting or processing payments of this type)

LA73 – Aborted due to invalid value date

LA74 – Aborted as payment entry not permitted for payments of this type

LA75 – Not used

LA76 – Aborted due to participant organisation being placed in default

LA77 – Duplicate payment

LA78 – Invalid payment

LA79 – Cancelled

LA80 – Error in CAS

LA81 – Invalid Format

LA82 – Insufficient funds (for submitter types configured not to allow payments to queue where there are insufficient funds)

LA83 – Amount is less than the minimum allowed amount for the payment message type

LA84 – Non eligible debit account

LA85 – Non eligible credit account

LA86 – Rejected by AML Filter

LA87 – Rejected by operator

* **Examples**

1. OTP Bank sent a camt.060.001.05 message in which element Document/AcctRptgReq/RptgReq/AcctOwnr/Pty/Id/OrgId/AnyBIC contained an invalid BIC code. As a response they receive the following admi.007.001.01 message from CAS, which includes the reasons why their order was refused (Document/RctAck/Rpt/ReqHdlg/StsCd).

[mnb.hu/letoltes/admi-007-001-01-1-viber.txt](https://www.mnb.hu/letoltes/admi-007-001-01-1-viber.txt)

1. KELER sent a pacs.010.001.03 message to CAS, which contained invalid formatting, and CAS responded with the following admi.007.001.01 message.

[mnb.hu/letoltes/admi-007-001-01-2-viber.txt](https://www.mnb.hu/letoltes/admi-007-001-01-2-viber.txt)

1. GIRO Zrt. sent a pacs.010.001.03 message in an erroneous format to CAS, in response to which CAS sent the following admi.007.001.01 message.

[mnb.hu/letoltes/admi-007-001-01-3-viber.txt](https://www.mnb.hu/letoltes/admi-007-001-01-3-viber.txt)