


# **1.** DEVELOPMENTS IN LOAN PORTFOLIOS AND ANSWERS TO THE QUESTIONNAIRE

### 1.1. Lending to the household sector










Note: The number and scope of banks varied during the periods under review. The chart only plots market shares of the banks surveyed; it does not plot market shares of financial enterprises. As of 2009, stock data also include those for credit institutions and branches.

#### Chart 3




Note: Net percentage balance of respondents reporting increased/decreased credit availability weighted by market share.






#### 4








Note: Net percentage balance of respondents tightening/easing credit conditions weighted by market share. The magnitude of tightening/easing is not shown in the chart.


#### 6

Lending Survey | May 2018



Note: Net percentage balance of banks indicating a contribution of individual factors to tightening or easing weighted by market share.


### Chart 8




#### Perceived demand for loans in the household segment


Note: Net percentage balance of respondents reporting increase/decrease in demand weighted by market share.

## Chart 9




#### Credit conditions in the consumer loan market - non-price conditions






#### 10

### Chart 11







#### Chart 12

Note: Net percentage balance of banks indicating a contribution of individual factors to tightening or easing weighted by market share.

Lending Survey | May 2018




### Chart 14




Lending Survey | May 2018

#### Chart 15




# 15



Lending Survey | May 2018



#### Chart 17




#### Outstanding amount of commercial real estate loans and the market share of banks completing the questionnaire HUF billion per cent ΞΞ 2007 2008 2016 ( 2018 ( Total commercial real estate loan portfolio Commercial real estate loan portfolio of the respondents -Market share of the respondents (right-hand scale) -

#### Chart 18


Note: As of 2009, stock data also include those for credit institutions and branches. As of 2011 the data includes loans for commercial real estate purchase, not just for development.

#### Chart 19



#### Willingness of banks to extend corporate loans


Note: Net percentage balance of respondents reporting increased/decreased credit availability weighted by market share.




#### Chart 20

Lending Survey | May 2018

#### Chart 21




#### Chart 22



### Chart 23


#### Maximum size of loans/credit lines by corporate category per cent per cent per cent 100 100 100 80 80 80 60 60 60 TIGHTENING 40 40 40 20 20 20 0 0 0 -20 -20 -20 LOOSENING -40 -40 -40 -60 -60 -60 -80 -80 -80 -100 -100 -100 2009 Q1 2010 Q13 2011 Q13 2011 Q13 2013 Q13 2013 Q13 2014 Q13 2014 Q13 2015 Q13 2016 Q13 2016 Q13 2016 Q13 2017 Q13 2018 Q10 2000 Q10 2000 Q10 2000 Q10 2000 Q100 Q100 Q100 Q100 Q100 Q 2009 Q1 2010 Q1 2011 Q1 2011 Q1 2012 Q1 2013 Q1 2013 Q1 2014 Q1 2015 Q1 2015 Q1 2015 Q1 2015 Q1 2016 Q1 2017 Q1 2018 Q1 2018 Q1 Non-financial corporations Large- and medium-sized Small- and micro-sized enterprises enterprises



#### Chart 24


Lending Survey | May 2018

## Chart 25




#### Spread between lending rates and cost of funds by corporate category

#### Chart 26




#### Premium on higher risk loans by corporate sector

### Chart 27




### Chart 28




#### **Collateral requirements by corporate category**

#### Chart 29



#### Minimum required credit score by corporate category






#### 30

Lending Survey | May 2018

#### Chart 31




Note: Net percentage balance of banks indicating a contribution of individual factors to tightening or easing weighted by market share.

#### Chart 32 Perceived demand for corporate loans per cent per cent per cent 100 100 100 80 80 80 60 60 60 STRONGER 40 40 40 20 20 20 0 0 0 -20 -20 -20 WEAKER -40 -40 -40 -60 -60 -60 -80 -80 -80 -100 -100 -100 Non-financial corporations Small- and micro sized Commercial real estate enterprises


*Note: Net percentage balance of respondents reporting increase/decrease in demand weighted by market share.* 

### Chart 33




Perceived demand for corporate loans by maturity


Note: Net percentage balance of respondents reporting increase/decrease in demand weighted by market share.




Note: Net percentage balance of banks indicating a contribution of individual factors to increase/decrease in demand weighted by market share.

### Chart 35





Lending Survey | May 2018




#### Chart 38

per cent 100 DETERIORATION 80 60 40 20 0 -20 IMPROVEMENT -40 -60 -80 -100 Default rate in 2018 Q1 Default rate forecast Loss given default Loss given default 2018 Q2-Q3 (e.) in 2018 Q1 forecast 2018 Q2-Q3 (e.) ■ Non-financial corporations Large-and medium-sized enterprises Small- and micro-sized enterprises

Developments in perceptions of risk associated with corporate loans based on answers provided on default rate and loss given default

Note: Net percentage balance of respondents reporting increased/decreased risk weighted by market share.

Lending Survey | May 2018



Note: Net percentage balance of respondents reporting improvement/deterioration weighted by market share.

# 2. METHODOLOGICAL NOTES

In terms of methodology – starting from January 2010 – the survey consists of the standard questionnaire in each segment, and we might also ask ad hoc questions of current concerns related to the lending segment. The retrospective questions in the questionnaire refer to the previous quarter year (previous quarter in the past), (e.g. to 2018 Q1 in April 2018), whereas forward-looking questions contain projections for the upcoming half year (e.g. for 2018 Q2-Q3 in April 2018), relative to the trends of the previous quarter year (previous half year in the past).

To indicate changes, the survey used the so-called net change indicator, expressed as a percentage of respondents. This indicator is calculated as follows: market share-weighted ratio of respondents projecting a change (tightening/increasing/strengthening) minus market share-weighted ratio of respondents projecting a change in the opposite direction (easing/decreasing/weakening).

The standard part of the questionnaire asked respondents for changes in willingness to lend (volume of loans), credit standards and credit/disbursement conditions, as well as changes in demand (observed in the last quarter and, as expected for the next half year, seasonally adjusted changes in new credit applications) and in portfolio quality as perceived by the respondent, and changes in the risk assessment of different sectors in the case of the corporate questionnaire. The survey applied a five-step scaling to assess changes in the willingness to lend, demand, standards/conditions, risk parameters, however on the charts we only show the direction, excluding magnitude:

• A rating of 1 reflects a considerable increase in demand and in willingness to lend, a considerable tightening in credit standards/credit conditions, a considerable increase in housing prices and risk parameters and, in the case of the risk assessment of sectors, a score of 1 indicates a considerable increase in risk perception relative to the half year preceding the survey, or relative to the current half year or for the upcoming half year in the case of a forecast.

• A rating of 3 indicates an unchanged assessment, both for the current half year and for the forecast pertaining to the upcoming half year.

• A rating of 5 reflects a considerable decrease in demand and in willingness to lend, a considerable loosening of credit standards/credit conditions, a considerable decline in housing prices and risk parameters and, in the case of the risk assessment of sectors, a rating of 5 indicates a significantly safer climate relative to the half year preceding the survey, or relative to the current half year or for the upcoming half year in the case of a forecast.

Ratings of 2 and 4 allow for an intermediate assessment between two extremes (e.g. demand increasing to some extent).

Keywords used for the purposes of the questionnaire are defined as follows:

**Credit availability** (willingness to lend) reflects the respondent's intention to expand and increase its portfolio in the specific segment.

In terms of **credit conditions**,<sup>1</sup> there is a distinction between price-related and non-price related factors. Non-price related credit conditions (such as collateralisation requirements, loan covenants, maximum size of loans/credit lines, etc.) represent specific contractual terms; the bank will not disburse the loan unless these conditions are met. Regarding the non-price related factors, the survey queried respondents on items such as the spread between the interest rate level and the cost of funds, and risk premium.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As credit standards and credit conditions are interrelated concepts, we surveyed overall changes in credit conditions and standards, followed by a separate query on individual credit conditions.